Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/010,926	MORRISON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Callie E. Shosho	1714	

All Participants:

(1) *Callie E. Shosho*.

(2) *Mark Litman*.

Status of Application: *Allowed*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *8/6/04*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
11-16

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The examiner's amendment was agreed to and authorized by Mr Litman in order to (i) correct minor typing error in the specification and (ii) cancel claims 11-16 in order to overcome the rejection of record with respect to these claims.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)